

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

United States of America,
 Plaintiff,
 vs.
 Eduardo Ruben Lopez,
 Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-CR-00055-CDS-DJA

ORDER

Defendant moves the Court to seal Defendant Lopez’s Reply to the Opposition to the Motion to Compel Production of the Subpoena on Oakmark Advisors and Declaration of Mark L. Krotoski (“Proposed Sealed Materials”). (ECF No. 489). The Court finds that Defendant has demonstrated compelling reasons to grant the motion and keep the documents under seal. See *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006); see *Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Group, LLC*, 809 F.3d 1092 (9th Cir. 2016). Defendant asserts that the Proposed Sealed Materials, if revealed, would reveal his defense strategies and prejudice him. See, e.g., *United States v. Sleugh*, 896 F.3d 1007, 1010, 1012 (9th Cir. 2018); see also *United States v. Tomison*, 969 F. Supp. 587, 593-94 (E.D. Cal. 1997) (same).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant’s motion to seal (ECF No. 489) is **granted**. The documents filed under seal at ECF No. 487 and 488 shall remain under seal.

DATED: February 25, 2025



 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE